

Meagan Walters

From: Ashleigh Ellis
Sent: Thursday, 26 June 2025 1:50 pm
To: District Plan Review
Cc: Katherine Overwater
Subject: FW: District Plan Review Submission
Attachments: DRC Submission.pdf

See below.

Ashleigh

From: Have YourSay <haveyoursay@kaipara.govt.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 26 June 2025 12:31 pm
To: Ashleigh Ellis <aellis@kaipara.govt.nz>; Katherine Overwater <koverwater@kaipara.govt.nz>
Subject: FW: District Plan Review Submission

Attached has come through the Have your say inbox.

From: Dargaville Racing Club <dargavilleracingclub@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, 26 June 2025 11:34 am
To: Have YourSay <haveyoursay@kaipara.govt.nz>
Subject: District Plan Review Submission

CAUTION: This email originated from outside Kaipara District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

You don't often get email from dargavilleracingclub@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Hi There

Please see a submission attached for the review of the district plan.

--

Regards,
Grace Adnitt,
Dargaville Racing Club Secretary



DARGAVILLE RACING CLUB INC

PO BOX 327 DARGAVILLE
dargavilleracingclub@gmail.com

Submission on KDC's District Plan Review;

The proposed plan has included the Racing Club's private plan change in total (the same applies to PC82). Of course there may be submissions seeking to refine, or remove, the provisions contained in both PC 81 and 82. Any such submission should be resisted, and PC 81 and 82 should go forward into the new plan without alteration.

There are several reasons for this;

- 1 Both plan changes went through a considerable public consultation and hearing process, concluding within the last two years. In the case of the Racing Club (PC81) it was further subjected to scrutiny by the Environment Court.
2. This process was detailed, in the case of the Racing Club it was submitted with over 3000 pages of supporting documentation. And it was costly; in the case of PC 81 well over one million and PC 82 couldn't have been too much less.
3. The Racing Clubs plan change submission was supported by a substantial grant from the PGF. In making the grant public in August 2020 the Minister for Regional Development, the Hon Shane Jones, said it was for "the Dargaville Racing club to explore, with the KDC, economic opportunities for the land at Awakino Point"
- 4 Given Government recent comments about district councils holding up the development of housing developments, (not entirely justified, I might add) and the Govt's involvement through the PGF in PC81, it would not be a smart move to place any further impediments, or obstacles, in the way of getting more houses on the land covered by PC 81 & 82.
5. The proposed district plan has opened up considerable opportunity for development of lifestyle blocks, which is fine as far as it goes, but houses on lifestyle blocks are expensive. They don't have sewage or water connection charges, but there is the additional cost of the land. The plan is a bit short on opportunity for "affordable housing" (infill housing alone won't cut it). There has to be some place for workers to live.
6. Both PC81 & 82 have the potential for some "affordable homes". It's important for communities to have the possibility of that type of home, to cater for the full cross section of the population.
7. Hence the need to leave PC 81 & 82 as they are.

I do not wish to be heard in support of this submission.